

A method of direct computation an explicit form of Young measures in some special cases

Piotr Puchała

Częstochowa University of Technology

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Computer Science

Institute of Mathematics

J.H. Dąbrowskiego 73, 42-200 Częstochowa, Poland

e-mail: piotr.puchala@im.pcz.pl

Abstract

We present a method of direct computations of Young measures in some special cases.

Key words and phrases: Young measures, minimizing sequences, oscillating sequences, microstructure, engineering

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46N10, 49M30, 74N15, 00A06

1 Introduction

Young Measures were first introduced by Lawrence Chisholm Young in the paper [11] in 1937 where he considered variational problems that do not admit classical solutions. In these cases minimizing sequences do not converge to the minimizers as the elements of the appropriate for the problem function spaces. The idea of Young was to enlarge function spaces to the measure spaces and to consider objects which he called „generalized trajectories”. In these measure spaces the minimizing sequences have in some sense generalized limits – the Young measures. They are suitable tool to analyze the oscillatory properties of the minimizing sequences and further – the microstructure arising for example from phase transitions in certain elastic crystals.

We refer to [1], [2], [3], [5], [6], [7], [9] and the original papers cited there for further information about applications of Young measures in nonlinear elasticity, calculus of variations, numerical analysis, economics and other areas of engineering and mathematics.

In this paper we propose a separation-of-variables-like method of direct calculation of Young measures. First we present an introduction justifying our approach to Young measures. Then we formulate a theorem which enables us to calculate them explicitly avoiding not handy to deal with tools from functional analysis. In fact, we use only the change of variable formula. Further we use this theorem to calculate Young measures associated to the sequences which appear in the calculus of variations and homogenization theory.

Although the theorems and methods are developed in dimension one, they can be naturally extended to the multidimensional case.

2 Theoretical foundations

This part is entirely based on [9], where general formulation and detailed proofs of all the results of this section can be found.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open, bounded set and let $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact set. Let U denote the set of all Lebesgue measurable functions $u: \Omega \rightarrow K$. Then U is the subset of the space $L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. By $\text{Car}(\Omega, K)$ we will denote the space of Carathéodory functions. Recall that the function $h: \Omega \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a Carathéodory function if $h(\cdot, k)$ is measurable for all $k \in K$ and $h(x, \cdot)$ is continuous for almost all (a.a.) x (with respect to the Lebesgue measure). We will say that $h_1 = h_2$, $h_1, h_2 \in \text{Car}(\Omega, K)$, iff for a.a. $x \in \Omega$ we have $\|h_1(x, \cdot) - h_2(x, \cdot)\|_{C(K)} = 0$, where $\|\cdot\|_{C(K)}$ denotes the usual supremum norm in the space of continuous functions. We endow the space $\text{Car}(\Omega, K)$ with the norm $\|h\|_{\text{Car}, K} := \int_\Omega \sup_{k \in K} |h(x, k)| dx$. We can identify this space with the Banach space of Bochner integrable functions from Ω to $C(K)$. Namely, we have

Proposition 2.1 *The mapping $h \mapsto \hat{h}$ defined by $\hat{h}(x) := h(x, \cdot)$ is the isometric isomorphism between the spaces $\text{Car}(\Omega, K)$ and $L^1(\Omega, C(K))$.*

Consider now imbedding $i: U \rightarrow L^1(\Omega, C(K))^*$ defined by

$$\langle i(u), h \rangle := \int_\Omega h(x, u(x)) dx.$$

The symbol $Y(\Omega, K)$ will stand for the weak* closure of the set $i(U)$ in $L^1(\Omega, C(K))^*$. So we have

$$Y(\Omega, K) := \{L^1(\Omega, C(K))^* \ni \eta : \exists (u_n) \subset U : i(u_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w^*} \eta\}.$$

Theorem 2.1 *The triple $(Y(\Omega, K), L^1(\Omega, C(K))^*, i)$ is the convex compactification of the set U .*

Recall that by the Riesz theorem we have $C(K)^* = \text{rca}(K)$, where $\text{rca}(K)$ is the set of regular (signed) measures on K . We say that the mapping $\nu: \Omega \rightarrow \text{rca}(K)$ is weakly measurable if for all $z \in C(K)$ the mapping $x \mapsto \langle \nu(x), z \rangle$ is measurable. We often write ν_x instead of $\nu(x)$. The set of all such mappings ν fulfilling additionally the condition

$$\|\nu\|_{L_w^\infty(\Omega, \text{rca}(K))} := \text{ess sup}\{\|\nu(x)\|_{\text{rca}(K)} : x \in \Omega\} < +\infty$$

is a normed linear space $L_w^\infty(\Omega, \text{rca}(K))$. By the Dunford – Pettis theorem this space is isometrically isomorphic to the space $L^1(\Omega, C(K))^*$. Namely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2 *Let $h \in L^1(\Omega, C(K))$. Define the mapping $\eta \in L^1(\Omega, C(K))^*$ by*

$$\langle \eta, h \rangle := \int_{\Omega} \int_K h(x, k) d\nu_x(k) dx.$$

Then the mapping

$$\psi: L_w^\infty(\Omega, \text{rca}(K)) \ni \nu \mapsto \psi(\nu) := \eta \in L^1(\Omega, C(K))^*$$

realizes the isometric isomorphism between the spaces $L_w^\infty(\Omega, \text{rca}(K))$ and $L^1(\Omega, C(K))^*$.

In what follows the symbol $\text{rca}^1(K)$ will denote the subset of $\text{rca}(K)$ consisting of all probability measures on K . In particular the Dirac measure δ_k concentrated in $k \in K$ belongs to $\text{rca}^1(K)$.

Define

$$\mathcal{Y}(\Omega, K) := \{ \nu = \{ \nu_x \} \in L_w^\infty(\Omega, \text{rca}(K)) : \nu_x \in \text{rca}^1(K) \text{ for a.a } x \in \Omega \}.$$

This is the set of all Young measures.

Define now $\delta: U \ni u \mapsto \delta_{u(x)} \in \mathcal{Y}(\Omega, K)$.

Theorem 2.2 *The function ψ defined in Proposition 2.2 maps the set $\mathcal{Y}(\Omega, K)$ onto the set $Y(\Omega, K)$. Moreover, the convex compactifications $(Y(\Omega, K), L^1(\Omega, C(K))^*, i)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}(\Omega, K), L_w^\infty(\Omega, \text{rca}(K)), \delta)$ of the set $U \subset L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ are equivalent.*

This means that for every function $u \in U$ there exists an element of $Y(\Omega, K)$ – this is the Young measure associated to u .

3 Quasi-Young measures

In this part $\Omega =]a, b[$ with (normed to the unity) Lebesgue measure dx , $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a compact set and $u: \Omega \rightarrow K$ is a measurable function. By β we will denote a continuous function $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.1 *We say that a family of probability measures $\nu = (\nu_x)_{x \in \Omega}$ is a quasi-Young measure associated to the measurable function $u: \Omega \rightarrow K$, if for every continuous function $\beta: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there holds an equality*

$$\int_K \beta(k) d\nu_x(k) = \int_\Omega \beta(u(x)) dx. \quad (1)$$

Proposition 3.1 *Let u be a strictly monotonic function, differentiable on Ω . Then a quasi-Young measure associated to u is a measure that is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on K . Its density is equal to $|(u^{-1})'|$.*

Proof. Let u be strictly decreasing. Using the change of variable theorem we get

$$\int_c^d \beta(k) d\nu_x(k) = \int_a^b \beta(u(x)) dx = \int_c^d \beta(y) (-(u^{-1})'(y)) dy.$$

Analogously, if u is strictly increasing. Finally

$$\nu_x = |(u^{-1})'(y)| dy.$$

□

Remark 3.1 *We see that the quasi-Young measure does not depend on the variable x . This is a homogeneous quasi-Young measure.*

Recall that for any set A the symbol χ_A denotes the characteristic function of A , i.e.

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \notin A \\ 1, & x \in A. \end{cases}$$

Let $\{\Omega\} := \{I_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a partition of Ω into n open subsets such that

- (a) $I_i \cap I_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$;
- (b) $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{I_i} = \overline{\Omega}$.

Proposition 3.2 *Let the function $u: \Omega \rightarrow K$ has the form*

$$u := \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \chi_{I_i},$$

where u_i fulfils the assumptions of the Proposition 3.1 and I_i belongs to $\{\Omega\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then the quasi-Young measure associated to u is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure dy on K with the density

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^n |(u_i^{-1})'|. \tag{2}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction with respect to the number of „components” u_i of u . The case $n = 1$ is the Proposition 3.1. Suppose that the thesis is true for some natural number $l > 1$. Then

$$g_l = \sum_{i=1}^n |(u_i^{-1})'|,$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_K \beta(k) d\nu_x(k) &= \int_{\Omega} \beta(u(x)) dx = \int_{\Omega} \beta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} u_i(x) \chi_{I_i}(x)\right) dx = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{l+1} \int_{I_i} \beta(u_i(x)) dx = \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{I_i} \beta(u_i(x)) dx + \int_{I_{l+1}} \beta(u_{l+1}(x)) dx = \\
&= \int_K \beta(y) \sum_{i=1}^l |(u_i^{-1})'| dy + \int_K \beta(y) |(u_{l+1}^{-1})'| dy = \int_K \beta(y) \sum_{i=1}^{l+1} |(u_i^{-1})'| dy.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We can prove analogous result where the function u is strictly monotonic, differentiable a.e. in Ω and "composed" of strictly monotonic differentiable functions.

Proposition 3.3 *Let the continuous function $u: \Omega \rightarrow K$ has the form*

$$u := \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \chi_{I_i},$$

where for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ the function u_i is strictly monotonic and differentiable with the same sign of the derivative. Then the quasi-Young measure associated to u is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure dy on K with the density

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^n |(u_i^{-1})'| \cdot \chi_{u_i(I_i)}. \quad (3)$$

Now let u be a constant function: $\forall x \in \Omega$ $u(x) = p$, p – a fixed real number.

Proposition 3.4 *Under the above assumptions the quasi-Young measure associated to u is the Dirac measure δ_p .*

Proof. We have

$$\int_K \beta(k) d\nu_x(k) = \int_a^b \beta(u(x)) dx = \beta(p) = \int_K \beta(y) d\delta_p.$$

□

Analogously as Proposition 3.2 we can prove

Proposition 3.5 *Let the function u has the form*

$$u := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \chi_{I_i},$$

where $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ are real constants. Then its quasi-Young measure is a convex combination of Dirac measures:

$$\nu_x = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \delta_{p_i},$$

where m_i is the Lebesgue measure of $I_i \in \{\Omega\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

4 Sequences of oscillating functions

Rapidly oscillating functions play an important role in the homogenization theory and in the variational problems when we are seeking minima of functions with nonconvex integrands. In this second case minimizing sequences do not converge strongly in an appropriate topology, but usually the convergence is only the weak* one. Finer and finer oscillations of the elements of the minimizing sequence around its weak limit give some information about microstructure. The Young measures associated to the minimizing sequences "capture" these oscillations.

In this section we will assume that $\Omega =]0, 1[$ and $K = [0, 1]$ to simplify calculations. We can always return to the general case by scaling.

Let (c_n) be a monotonically increasing sequence of natural numbers: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = +\infty$. Consider the sequence (u_n) of functions defined by $u_n(x) := u(c_n x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will call the function u "the function generating fast oscillating sequence". For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can write

$$u_n(x) = \begin{cases} u(c_n x), & x \in]0, \frac{1}{c_n}] \\ u(c_n x - 1), & x \in]\frac{1}{c_n}, \frac{2}{c_n}] \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ u(c_n x - (c_n - 1)), & x \in]\frac{c_n - 1}{c_n}, 1[. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.1 (a) Let u be a function fulfilling the assumptions of the Proposition 3.1. Then the quasi-Young measure associated to the function u_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is equal to the quasi-Young measure associated to the function u generating the sequence (u_n) .

(b) An analogous result holds if the generating function u is as in Proposition 3.5.

Proof. (a) Let u be a strictly monotonic differentiable function. We can assume that u is increasing. Choose and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \beta(k) d\nu_x(k) &= \int_0^1 \beta(u_n(x)) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{c_n} \int_{\frac{i-1}{c_n}}^{\frac{i}{c_n}} \beta(u(c_n x - (i-1))) dx = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{c_n} \frac{1}{c_n} \int_0^1 \beta(u(t)) dt = \int_0^1 \beta(y) (u^{-1})'(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

An inductive argument completes the proof of (a).

(b) The proof for is analogous.

□

Using induction we can prove the following.

Proposition 4.2 *Let u generate the fast oscillating sequence (u_n) of functions. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the quasi-Young measure associated to u_n is the same as the quasi-Young measure associated to u .*

Remark 4.1 *Observe that*

$$\int_0^1 u(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{c_n} \int_{\frac{i-1}{c_n}}^{\frac{i}{c_n}} u(c_n x - (i-1)) dx,$$

so geometrically the area between the graph of u and the x -axis is the same as the area between the graph of u_n and the x -axis, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case the sequence of quasi-Young measures is constant and hence trivially weak* convergent.

5 Examples

We now give some examples of direct computation of quasi-Young measures. The examples of oscillating sequences are taken from the items listed in the references. We can see that the method described in the above sections gives the same results without using functional analytic tools. In particular we do not need a generalized version of the Riemann – Lebesgue lemma.

(a) Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\Omega =]0, a[$ and consider the sequence of functions

$$u_n(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{2nb}{a}x - 2bk, & x \in \left] \frac{ak}{n}, \frac{(2k+1)a}{2n} \right[\\ -\frac{2nb}{a}x + 2b(k+1), & x \in \left] \frac{(2k+1)a}{2n}, \frac{(k+1)a}{n} \right[\end{cases}$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^b \beta(k) d\nu_x(k) &= \int_0^a \beta(u_n(x)) dx = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{ak}{n}}^{\frac{(2k+1)a}{2n}} \beta\left(\frac{2nb}{a}x - 2bk\right) dx + \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{(2k+1)a}{2n}}^{\frac{(k+1)a}{n}} \beta\left(-\frac{2nb}{a}x + 2b(k+1)\right) dx = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

The k-th integral in I_1 is equal to $\frac{1}{2n} \int_0^b \beta(y) \frac{a}{b} dy$, so finally

$$\int_0^b \beta(k) d\nu_x(k) = \int_0^b \beta(y) \frac{a}{b} dy,$$

i.e. the quasi-Young measure associated to the function u_n has the form $\nu_x = \frac{a}{b} dy$. Thus we have the constant sequence of measures absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure dy on $K = [0, b]$ with the density equal to $\frac{a}{b}$. Observe that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_0^a u_1(x) dx = \int_0^a u_n(x) dx$$

and that calculating the density is in fact reduced to computing the sum of absolute values of the inverses of the slopes of u_1 .

(b) Let $\Omega =]0, 1[$ and define the function

$$u_1(x) := \begin{cases} 3x, & x \in]0, \frac{1}{6}] \\ \frac{3}{2}x + \frac{1}{4}, & x \in]\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}] \\ -\frac{3}{2}x + \frac{7}{4}, & x \in]\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{6}] \\ -3x + 3, & x \in]\frac{5}{6}, 1[. \end{cases}$$

Let (u_n) be a sequence of periodic functions with n-th element built with n such shaped "teeth" in Ω . As above, we have for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $\int_0^1 u_1(x) dx = \int_0^1 u_n(x) dx$, so it is enough to consider u_1 . We have

$$\int_0^1 \beta(k) d\nu_x(k) = \int_0^1 \beta(u_1(x)) dx = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta(y) \frac{2}{3} dy + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \beta(y) \frac{4}{3} dy.$$

and thus $\nu_x = f(y) dy$, where

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}, & y \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \frac{4}{3}, & y \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

(c) Let $\Omega =]0, 1[$ and $u_n(x) = \sin(2\pi nx)$. Dividing u_1 into monotonic parts and applying our procedure we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1}^1 \beta(k) d\nu_x(k) = \\ &= \int_0^1 \beta(y) \frac{dy}{2\pi\sqrt{1-y^2}} + \int_{-1}^0 \beta(y) \frac{dy}{2\pi\sqrt{1-y^2}} + \int_{-1}^0 \beta(y) \frac{dy}{2\pi\sqrt{1-y^2}} = \int_{-1}^1 \beta(y) \frac{dy}{\pi\sqrt{1-y^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

obtaining finally

$$\nu_x = \frac{dy}{\pi\sqrt{1-y^2}}.$$

(d) Let a, b be fixed positive real numbers and let the function

$$u = \begin{cases} a, & x \in]0, \frac{2}{3}[\\ b, & x \in [\frac{2}{3}, 2[\end{cases}$$

generate the sequence (u_n) . Having in mind that dx is normed to unity and applying our procedure we get

$$\int_K \beta(k) d\nu_x(k) = \int_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \beta(a) dx + \int_{\frac{2}{3}}^2 \beta(b) dx = \int_K \beta(y) (\frac{1}{3}\delta_a + \frac{2}{3}\delta_b)(dy),$$

which means that ν_x is a purely singular measure

$$\nu_x = \frac{1}{3}\delta_a + \frac{2}{3}\delta_b.$$

(e) Let

$$u_n(x) := \begin{cases} (x(n+k-1) - k + 1) \frac{n+k}{n}, & x \in]\frac{k-1}{n+k-1}, \frac{k}{n+k}[, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ odd} \\ (k - x(n+k)) \frac{n+k-1}{n}, & x \in [\frac{k-1}{n+k-1}, \frac{k}{n+k}[, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

This is an example of an oscillating sequence of nonperiodic functions. Observe that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sum of the inverses of absolute values of the slopes of components of u_n is constant:

$$n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k + (n-1))(k+n)} = 1,$$

which yields the result $\nu_x = 1 \cdot dx$.

6 Quasi-Young measures are Young measures

In this section it will be shown that quasi – Young measures of the above sections are in fact Young measures. Keeping in mind our assumptions we will denote by the letter α the continuous function $\alpha: \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Further, $\alpha \otimes \beta$ will stand for the tensor product of functions α and β , namely $(\alpha \otimes \beta)(x, k) := \alpha(x) \cdot \beta(k)$. Recall that by the Proposition 2.1 the space $\text{Car}(\Omega, K)$ of the Carathéodory functions is isometrically isomorphic with the space $L^1(\Omega, C(K))$. We will need a special case of the theorem stated and proved in [10], Theorem I.5.25 (3). According to this theorem the linear hull $C(\overline{\Omega}) \otimes C(K)$ of the set $\{f \otimes g : f \in C(\overline{\Omega}), g \in C(K)\}$ is dense in the space $L^1(\Omega, C(K))$.

Theorem 6.1 *Let u be as in the Proposition 3.1 or in the Proposition 3.4. Then the quasi-Young measure associated to u is equal to the Young measure associated to u .*

Proof. It is enough to prove the result for u strictly increasing. Let h be a Carathéodory function. Choose and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. From the denseness results stated above there exists function $h_\varepsilon = \alpha_\varepsilon \otimes \beta_\varepsilon$, $\alpha_\varepsilon \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, $\beta_\varepsilon \in C(K)$, such that $\|h - h_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} \int_K h(x, k) d\nu_x(k) dx - \int_{\Omega} \int_K h(x, k) (u^{-1}(k))' dk dx \right| \leq \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\Omega} \int_K h(x, k) d\nu_x(k) dx - \int_{\Omega} \alpha_\varepsilon(x) \int_K \beta_\varepsilon(k) d\nu_x(k) dx \right| + \\ & + \left| \int_{\Omega} \alpha_\varepsilon(x) \int_K \beta_\varepsilon(k) d\nu_x(k) dx - \int_{\Omega} \alpha_\varepsilon(x) \int_K \beta_\varepsilon(k) (u^{-1}(k))' dk dx \right| + \\ & + \left| \int_{\Omega} \alpha_\varepsilon(x) \int_K \beta_\varepsilon(k) (u^{-1}(k))' dk dx - \int_{\Omega} \int_K h(x, k) (u^{-1}(k))' dk dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The first and the third term on the right hand side are arbitrarily small, while the second term vanishes thanks to the Proposition 3.1.

□

Corollary 6.1 *The quasi-Young measures in Propositions 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2 associated to the functions u of these Propositions are equal to the Young measures associated to them.*

Theorem 6.1 enables us to calculate explicit form of Young measures associated to functions or to the sequences of functions considering only the inner integral $\int_K \beta(k) d\nu_x(k)$.

There is no need to use the generalized Riemann – Lebesgue lemma and to calculate weak* limits of functions.

Acknowledgements. Author would like to thank Professor Zdzisław Naniewicz and to Kazimierz Brągiel for discussions.

References

- [1] H.Attouch, G.Buttazzo, G.Michaille, *Variational Analysis in Sobolev and BV Spaces: Applications to PDEs and Optimization*, SIAM and MPS, Philadelphia 2006.
- [2] E.J.Balder, *Lecture on Young Measures Theory and its Applications in Economics*, Rend. Instit. Mat. Univ. Trieste, Vol. XXXI Suppl. 1, 1-69 (2000).
- [3] C.Carstensen, *Numerical Analysis of Microstructure*, in Theory and Numerics of Differential Equations, (Durham 2000), J.F.Blowey, J.P.Coleman, A.W.Craig (editors), Springer Verlag, Berlin, 59–126.
- [4] D.Cioranescu, P.Donato, *An Introduction to Homogenization*, Oxford University Press 1999.

- [5] J.Málek, J. Nečas, M. Rokyta, M. Růžička, *Weak and Measure-valued Solutions to Evolutionary PDEs*, Chapman & Hall 1996.
- [6] S.Müller, *Variational Models for Microstructure and Phase Transitions*, in Calculus of variations and geometric evolution problems, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Volume 1713, Springer 1999, 85–210.
- [7] P. Pedregal, *Variational Methods in Nonlinear Elasticity*, SIAM, Philadelphia 2000.
- [8] P.Puchała, *On a certain method of calculating Young measures in some simple cases*, Scientific Research of the Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, **2(8)** (2009), 131–136.
- [9] T.Roubíček, *Relaxation in Optimization Theory and Variational Calculus*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1997.
- [10] J.Warga, *Optimal Control of Differential and Integral Equations*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1972.
- [11] L.C. Young, *Generalized curves and the existence of an attained absolute minimum in the calculus of variations*, Comptes Rendus de la Société des Sciences et des Lettres de Varsovie, classe III, **30** (1937), 212–234.